

## MACCH 2019 HUD CoC Program Renewal Project Scorecard

Agency Name:

Project Name:

Project Type (circle one): RRH / PSH

	Criteria	Data Source/Calculation	Scoring/Scaling	Points Awarded
<b>General Information</b>				
1	<b>Housing First:</b> Project has adopted low barriers to entry and no requirements for service participation per the MACCH Housing First Policy	Agency policies provided to MACCH	Project follows MACCH Housing First Policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: Yes</li> <li>• 0 points: No</li> </ul>	
2	<b>Discharge policies/appeals process:</b> Agency has documentation of client discharge policies and appeals process	Provision of agency's client discharge policies and appeals process covering this project.	Agency has a client discharge and appeals policy and procedure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 point: Yes</li> <li>• 0 points: No</li> </ul>	
3	<b>Coordinated Entry: Renewal agencies are active participants in MACCH's CES</b>	Agencies participation in MACCH CES process- MACCH will certify agencies participation for 2019 NOFA	Agency participated in MACCH CES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 point- Yes</li> <li>• 0 points- NO</li> </ul>	
4	<b>Required Trainings:</b> Staff from renewal agencies attended trainings for AFFH (AI), VAWA and Equal Access	Staff participation will be verified through sign-in sheets from required trainings	Agency participated in MACCH Trainings <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 point- Yes</li> <li>• 0 points- NO</li> </ul>	
<b>Total</b>			10	

	Criteria	Data Source/Calculation	Scoring/Scaling	Points Awarded
<b>HMIS and Data Quality</b>				
5	<b>Data Completeness and Quality</b>	Data is evaluated from the Omaha/MACCH Provider Monitoring Report. Each of the 15 areas marked as "OK" will receive 1/2 point. The standard for data completeness is < 5% data errors	Up to 7.5 points	

6	<b>Intake:</b> Average Data Entry Delays	The average number of days to enter participant into HMIS. The CoC standard as outlined in the HMIS policies is 4 days. APR Q6e Total # of Project Start Records/ number of start records completed in 4-6 days or less. If 90% of entries are below 4-6 days the project gets full points. If less than 90% the project receives 0 points.	Agency met timeliness requirement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 point- Yes</li> <li>• 0 points- NO</li> </ul>	
<b>Total</b>			<b>10.5</b>	

**Project Performance**

7	<b>Unit Utilization Rate:</b> Average utilization rate of project	HMIS/APR- For PSH- Q8b- Unit utilization rates. Calculation: The average of the 4 utilization benchmarks divided by the # of total units requested in HUD application. For RRH Sage APR Q2- number of year round units from application divided by average utilization unit rate (# in Jan + Ap + July + Oct/4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: 95-100%</li> <li>• 3 points: 90-94%</li> <li>• 1 point: 85-89%</li> <li>0 points: &lt;85%</li> </ul>	
8a	<b>Exits to and Retention of Permanent Housing – RRH:</b> Percent of households that remained in permanent housing or exited to permanent housing	<b>RRH-</b> Exits to PH- Q23a- Exit Destination- More than 90 days + Q23b- Exit Destination- 90 days or less. Calculation: Leavers to PH divided by total number of exits from question 23a and 23b.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6 points: 90-100%</li> <li>• 5 points: 80-89%</li> <li>• 2 points: 70-79%</li> <li>• 0 points: &lt;70%</li> </ul>	
8b	<b>Exits to and Retention of Permanent Housing – PSH:</b> Percent of households that remained in permanent housing or exited to permanent housing	<b>PSH-</b> Q22a1- Length of participation + Q23a + Q23b. Calculation: All stayers + all leavers to PH divided by total number of persons Q5a1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6 points: 90-100%</li> <li>• 5 points: 80-89%</li> <li>• 2 points: 70-79%</li> <li>• 0 points: &lt;70%</li> </ul>	

			Points Awarded
Criteria	Data Source/Calculation	Scoring/Scaling	
9	<b>LoT Between Start Date and Move In</b>	HMIS/MACCH Monitoring Report	Length of time between project entry and move in date <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 point: &lt; 45 days</li> <li>• 2 points: 45-60 days</li> </ul>
10	<b>Increased Total Income</b>	HMIS/APR- Q19a3- Income change by Income Category. Using Adults any income row. Calculation: # adults who gained or increased income from entry to follow-up/exit + # of adults retained income category and same at follow-up as at entry divided by # of total adults including those with no income.	% leavers with increased income <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: ≥35%</li> <li>• 3 points: 30-34%</li> <li>• 2 points: 25-29%</li> <li>• 0 point: ≤24%</li> </ul>
11	<b>Cost Effectiveness- Cost per Unit/HH Served:</b>	RRH- APR Total number of HH served Q8a. Calculation: # HH served divided by grant ARD.	RRH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 point- &lt; \$7,500</li> <li>• 0 points- &gt; \$7,500</li> </ul>
		PSH- APR Total number of HH served Q8a. Calculation: # HH served divided by grant ARD.	PSH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 point- &lt; \$15,000</li> <li>• 0 points- &gt; \$15,000</li> </ul>
12	<b>Cost Effectiveness- Cost per Exit to PH:</b>	RRH- APR Total number of HH exiting to PH. Calculation: # HH exiting to PH divided by grant ARD.	RRH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 point- &lt; \$15,000</li> <li>• 0 points- &gt; \$15,000</li> </ul>

		PSH- APR Total number of HH exiting to PH. Calculation: # HH exiting to PH divided by grant ARD.	PSH • 1 point- < \$25,000 • 0 points- > \$25,000	
XXX	<b>Cost Effectiveness-Housing Dedicated Funding:</b>	For RRH and PSH Programs- the percent of grant funds dedicated to housing costs (rental assistance/leasing) divided by total ARD.	Not scored in 2019 NOFA	
XXX	<b>Returns to Homeless-</b>	What percentage of clients who exited the program returned to homelessness within 6 months of discharge.	Not scored in 2019 NOFA	

Criteria		Data Source/Calculation	Scoring/Scaling	Points Awarded
13	<b>Severity of Need-</b>	Severity of need of the population served will be calculated using APR Q13a1 divided by the total served in 5a1. Categories include Mental Health, Alcohol/Drug/Both, Chronic Health/Physical Disability. Each category met will receive 1/2 point. APR Q14a, DV, divided by 5a2 will receive 1/2 point. Q16, no income at entry, divided by 5a2 will receive 1/2 point. Q.5a11, CH, divided by 5a2 will calculate the % of CH, if met will receive 1 point. Percentages were calculated on system wide APR's for the specific housing intervention and reflect the percent of clients who identified these barriers at project entry.	RRH- Severity of Need by population • Mental Health-(25%- 13a1/5a1) • Alcohol/Drug Abuse/Both-(15%- 13a1/5a1) • Chronic Health/Physical Disability-(28%-13a1/5a1) • Chronically Homeless-(35%- 5a11/5a2) • DV-(32%- 14a/5a2) • Zero Income-(54%- 16 "no income"/5a2)	
			PSH- Severity of Need by population • Mental Health-(59%- 13a1/5a1) • Alcohol/Drug Abuse/Both-(45%- 13a1/5a1) • Chronic Health/Physical Disability-(56%-13a1/5a1) • Chronically Homeless-(61%- 5a11/5a2) • DV-(27%- 14a/5a2) • Zero Income-(39%- 16 "no income"/5a2)	
<b>Total</b>			<b>RRH- 24.5 PSH- 24.5</b>	

Criteria		Data Source/Calculation	Scoring/Scaling	Points Awarded
<b>Grant Management</b>				
14	Quarterly Draws	As requested in the supplemental forms submission, grantees are to attach a summary page from eLOCCS showing dates and amounts of drawdowns for the last full grant year.	Yes: 1 point, No: 0 points	
15	Utilization of Grant funds	APR Report	3 points for 0-1% of funds remaining, 1 point for 2-5% of funds remaining, 0 points for 5-10% of funds remaining, deduct 1 point for every 10% of funds remaining over 10% (ie 11-20% = 1 etc.)	
<b>Total</b>			<b>4</b>	

**This  
Section is  
for  
Domestic  
Violence  
Renewal  
Programs  
Only**

	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Data Source/Calculation</b>	<b>Scoring/Scaling</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>
1	Does your project use the CoC's HMIS System or a comparable Database?	Certification from the agency or the HMIS lead entity	Yes: 1 point, No: 0 points	
2	Does your project actively provide safety planning for all participants?	Narrative Answer from applicant	Safety Planning for all Participants • 3 point- Yes • 0 points- NO	
3	Evidence of effectiveness of safety planning with participants	Narrative Answer from applicant	Safety Planning for all Participants • 3 point- Yes • 0 points- NO	
4	Did agency staff participate in CoC provided TIC Training	Staff participation will be verified through sign-in sheets from trainings	Yes: 1 point, No: 0 points	
<b>Total</b>			8	
<b>Total Score</b>			49	

(57 points possible if applicant is a renewing DV project)